UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/770,458	02/04/2004	Yoshikatsu Okada	03500.017889.	1341	
5514 FITZPATRICK	7590 08/21/2007 CELLA.HARPER & SCI	EXAMINER			
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			SISSON, BRADLEY L		
NEW YORK,	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/770,458	OKADA, YOSHIKATSU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Bradley L. Sisson	1634		

	Bradley L. Sisson	1634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in continued periods:	to or on the same day as filing a No ne following replies: (1) an amendm (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal f	tice of Appeal. To avoid aborent, affidavit, or other evide ee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mai	iling date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either bo TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See	r expire later than SIX MONTHS from the ox (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WH	e mailing date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ofmay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR NOTICE OF APPEAL	The date on which the petition under 37 (iod of extension and the corresponding a e of the shortened statutory period for re ffice later than three months after the ma	amount of the fee. The appropriately originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	'(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rej (a) They raise new issues that would require fur (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NO) 	rther consideration and/or search (s		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by mater		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canc		ally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41		lan Canaliant Amandarak	(DTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 C Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje 		ion-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wounder non-allowable claim(s). 		parate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendmen how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	d is provided below or appended.	will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8,9,15 and 16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10-14</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final ac because applicant failed to provide a showing of g was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date entered because the affidavit or other evidence fai showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is ne 	iled to overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde ecessary and was not earlier presen	r appeal and/or appellant fa ted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An exp REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	planation of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attacl	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration.	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem 13. Other:	ent(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Bradley L. Sisson/	,

/Bradley L. Sisson/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1634

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
The amendment document filed on <u>16 July 2007</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required:	
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other 	
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other 	
4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claim C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status	s) I
of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated aft its claim	ter
number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled),	
(Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently	
amended). D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):	
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.	
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 	nt
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.	
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable Telephone No.	

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The proposed amendment seeks to amend the claims such that they all depend from a non-elected invention. This does not constitute a proper reply, as there must be at least one claim drawn to the elected invention. It is further noted that claims 10-13 remain pending in the rule 1.116 response. Claims 10-13 are withdrawn from consideration. As set forth at page 2 of the Final Office action of 16 April 2007: This application contains claims 10-14 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 07 August 2006. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01." While the proposed response would cancel non-elected claim 14, withdrawn claims 10-13 remain, and a review of the response fails to find were applicant taken any other appropriate action, e.g., filed a petition, traversing the restriction requirement.

Appropriate correction is required.

J. & Linon **BRADLEY L. SISSON** PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1800